MATH 433 Applied Algebra

Lecture 20: Sign of a permutation (continued). Classical definition of the determinant.

# Sign of a permutation

**Theorem 1 (i)** Any permutation of  $n \ge 2$  elements is a product of transpositions. (ii) If  $\pi = \tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k = \tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_m$ , where  $\tau_i, \tau'_j$  are transpositions, then the numbers k and m are of the same parity (that is, both even or both odd).

A permutation  $\pi$  is called **even** if it is a product of an even number of transpositions, and **odd** if it is a product of an odd number of transpositions.

The sign  $sgn(\pi)$  of the permutation  $\pi$  is defined to be +1 if  $\pi$  is even, and -1 if  $\pi$  is odd.

**Theorem 2 (i)**  $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi\sigma) = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$  for any  $\pi, \sigma \in S_X$ . **(ii)**  $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi^{-1}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi)$  for any  $\pi \in S_X$ . **(iii)**  $\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{id}) = 1$ . **(iv)**  $\operatorname{sgn}(\tau) = -1$  for any transposition  $\tau$ . **(v)**  $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^{r-1}$  for any cycle  $\sigma$  of length r. Let  $\pi \in S(n)$  and i, j be integers,  $1 \le i < j \le n$ . We say that the permutation  $\pi$  preserves order of the pair (i, j) if  $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$ . Otherwise  $\pi$  makes an **inversion**. Denote by  $N(\pi)$  the number of inversions made by the permutation  $\pi$ .

**Lemma 1** Let  $\tau, \pi \in S(n)$  and suppose that  $\tau$  is an adjacent transposition,  $\tau = (k \ k+1)$ . Then  $|N(\tau\pi) - N(\pi)| = 1$ .

*Proof:* For every pair (i, j),  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , let us compare the order of pairs  $\pi(i), \pi(j)$  and  $\tau\pi(i), \tau\pi(j)$ . We observe that the order differs exactly for one pair, when  $\{\pi(i), \pi(j)\} = \{k, k+1\}$ . The lemma follows.

**Lemma 2** Let  $\pi \in S(n)$  and  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_k$  be adjacent transpositions. Then (i) for any  $\pi \in S(n)$  the numbers k and  $N(\tau_1\tau_2\ldots\tau_k\pi) - N(\pi)$  are of the same parity, (ii) the numbers k and  $N(\tau_1\tau_2\ldots\tau_k)$  are of the same parity. Sketch of the proof: (i) follows from Lemma 1 by induction on k. (ii) is a particular case of part (i), when  $\pi = \text{id.}$ 

**Lemma 3 (i)** Any cycle of length r is a product of r-1 transpositions. **(ii)** Any transposition is a product of an odd number of adjacent transpositions.

Proof: (i)  $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_r) = (x_1 x_2)(x_2 x_3)(x_3 x_4) \dots (x_{r-1} x_r).$ (ii)  $(k \ k+r) = \sigma^{-1}(k \ k+1)\sigma$ , where  $\sigma = (k+1 \ k+2 \dots \ k+r).$ By the above,  $\sigma = (k+1 \ k+2)(k+2 \ k+3) \dots (k+r-1 \ k+r)$ and  $\sigma^{-1} = (k+r \ k+r-1) \dots (k+3 \ k+2)(k+2 \ k+1).$ 

**Theorem (i)** Any permutation is a product of transpositions. (ii) If  $\pi = \tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$ , where  $\tau_i$  are transpositions, then the numbers k and  $N(\pi)$  are of the same parity.

*Proof:* (i) Any permutation is a product of disjoint cycles. By Lemma 3, any cycle is a product of transpositions.

(ii) By Lemma 3, each of  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_k$  is a product of an odd number of adjacent transpositions. Hence  $\pi = \tau'_1 \tau'_2 \ldots \tau'_m$ , where  $\tau'_i$  are adjacent transpositions and number *m* is of the same parity as *k*. By Lemma 2, *m* has the same parity as  $N(\pi)$ .

### **Classical definition of the determinant**

Definition. det (a) = a, 
$$\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - bc$$
,  
 $\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} \\ - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32}.$ 

. . .

If 
$$A = (a_{ij})$$
 is an  $n \times n$  matrix then  

$$\det A = \sum_{\pi \in S(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) a_{1,\pi(1)} a_{2,\pi(2)} \dots a_{n,\pi(n)},$$

where  $\pi$  runs over all permutations of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ .

## **Theorem** det $A^T = \det A$ .

*Proof:* Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ . Then  $A^T = (b_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ , where  $b_{ij} = a_{ji}$ . We have

det 
$$A^T = \sum_{\pi \in S(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) b_{1,\pi(1)} b_{2,\pi(2)} \dots b_{n,\pi(n)}$$
  
=  $\sum_{\pi \in S(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) a_{\pi(1),1} a_{\pi(2),2} \dots a_{\pi(n),n}$ 

$$= \sum_{\pi \in S(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) a_{1,\pi^{-1}(1)} a_{2,\pi^{-1}(2)} \dots a_{n,\pi^{-1}(n)}.$$

When  $\pi$  runs over all permutations of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , so does  $\sigma = \pi^{-1}$ . It follows that

$$\det A^{T} = \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma^{-1}) a_{1,\sigma(1)} a_{2,\sigma(2)} \dots a_{n,\sigma(n)}$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{1,\sigma(1)} a_{2,\sigma(2)} \dots a_{n,\sigma(n)} = \det A.$$

**Theorem 1** Suppose A is a square matrix and B is obtained from A by exchanging two rows. Then  $\det B = -\det A$ .

**Theorem 2** Suppose A is a square matrix and B is obtained from A by permuting its rows. Then  $\det B = \det A$  if the permutation is even and  $\det B = -\det A$  if the permutation is odd.

*Proof:* Let  $A = (a_{ii})_{1 \le i, i \le n}$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Suppose that a matrix B is obtained from A by permuting its rows according to a permutation  $\sigma \in S(n)$ . Then  $B = (b_{ii})_{1 \le i, i \le n}$ , where  $b_{\sigma(i),i} = a_{ii}$ . Equivalently,  $b_{ii} = a_{\sigma^{-1}(i),i}$ . We have det  $B = \sum \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) b_{1,\pi(1)} b_{2,\pi(2)} \dots b_{n,\pi(n)}$  $\pi \in S(n)$  $= \sum \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) a_{\sigma^{-1}(1),\pi(1)} a_{\sigma^{-1}(2),\pi(2)} \dots a_{\sigma^{-1}(n),\pi(n)}$  $\pi \in S(n)$  $= \sum \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) a_{1,\pi\sigma(1)} a_{2,\pi\sigma(2)} \dots a_{n,\pi\sigma(n)}.$  $\pi \in S(n)$ 

When  $\pi$  runs over all permutations of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , so does  $\tau = \pi \sigma$ . It follows that

$$\det B = \sum_{\tau \in S(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau \sigma^{-1}) a_{1,\tau(1)} a_{2,\tau(2)} \dots a_{n,\tau(n)}$$
$$= \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma^{-1}) \sum_{\tau \in S(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) a_{1,\tau(1)} a_{2,\tau(2)} \dots a_{n,\tau(n)} = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \det A.$$

### The Vandermonde determinant

*Definition.* The **Vandermonde determinant** is the determinant of the following matrix

$$V = egin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{n-1} \ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{n-1} \ 1 & x_3 & x_3^2 & \cdots & x_3^{n-1} \ dots & dots & dots & dots & dots & dots \ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
 ,

where  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Equivalently,  $V = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ , where  $a_{ij} = x_i^{j-1}$ .

### Theorem

Corollary Consider a polynomial

$$p(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i).$$

Then

$$p(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, x_{\pi(n)}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) p(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$$
  
for any permutation  $\pi \in S(n)$ .